

ALAN WATT BLURB
"INTERNET POLICE, PROVIDERS AND PROVOCATEURS
(THE COST OF FREE SPEECH)"
WITH HENRIK PALMGREN
OF RED ICE CREATIONS RADIO

March 1, 2007

**Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Mar 1, 2007 (Exempting
Music and Literary Quotes)**

WWW.CUTTINGTHROUGHTHEMATRIX.COM

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan: Hi, folks. I'm Alan Watt. This is cuttingthroughthematrix.com. It is March 1, 2007. Tonight, I have a guest from Sweden. I've been on his show before. It's Henrik from Red Ice Radio. He's here to tell us all about the recent problems he had with his server. He was pulled off the net with very puerile explanations given which won't satisfy anyone. It's rather silly, so he's here to tell us all about it.

"Bay Boys"
By Inner Circle

Bad boys
Whatcha want, watcha want
Whatcha gonna do
When sheriff John Brown come for you
Tell me
Whatcha gonna do, whatcha gonna dooo
Yeaheah

Bad boys, bad boys
Whatcha gonna do, whatcha gonna do
When they come for you

Bad boys, bad boys
Whatcha gonna do, whatcha gonna do
When they come for you

When you were eight
And you had bad traits
You go to school
And learn the golden rule
So why are you
Acting like a bloody fool
If you get hot
You must get cool

Bad boys, bad boys
Whatcha gonna do, whatcha gonna do
When they come for you

Bad boys, bad boys
Whatcha gonna do, whatcha gonna do
When they come for you

You chuck it on that one
You chuck it on this one
You chuck it on your mother and
You chuck it on your father
You chuck it on your brother and
You chuck it on your sister
You chuck it on that one and
You chuck it on me

Bad boys, bad boys
Whatcha gonna do, whatcha gonna do
When they come for you

Bad boys, bad boys
Whatcha gonna do, whatcha gonna do
When they come for you

Alan: Welcome, and fill me in on what's been happening to you.

Henrik: Sure Alan. Thank you very much for having me on. Now of course the site is back up at the moment now so I guess the initial word would be that this is no big issue of course. It just takes a lot of time as we went through or as were beginning our last show together when you were on my radio show of course after your site went down. It just takes a lot of time to keep a radio show going, update the site and so forth. It just steals too much time, but anyway this is quite an interesting thing to just go through. When the site was taken down yesterday around noon our time, I just got an automated email saying that my site was pulled or suspended as they called it. But anyway and I couldn't find a support phone number on their website. This was kind of a big mistake from my part when I signed up with them not to check that they could actually be reached through phone line, but any how, not very helpful at all. I had to milk them for answers. They didn't say why or how or by whom the site had been taken down. They just pull it and sent me this automated email. So anyway-

Alan: What happened when you tried? Did you try and get someone who's actually alive there to tell you what was wrong?

Henrik: Sure. I mean they had kind of a live chat function. I contacted someone there, but they said that they hadn't access to the email logs because this was related to a spam issue. So they couldn't help me out there so I started up a thread with the support team on their website, kind of a forum thread almost with an email conversation and they said basically that spam had been sent out with advertising for Red Ice Creations.com.

Obviously at that time, I mean nothing to do with me. It wasn't related to any of my email address or something like that. So they just said basically that you know in 99 percent of the cases those who sent out as they call it "spam-vertisement" are related to the sites. So they just decided to pull it. I don't know to this day if by whom they were threatened so to speak to pull the site. Somebody would have to tell them about this kind of reporting my site as a spam-vertisement, but anyway this email address was an AOL.com email account so I just asked them plainly "why didn't you forward this to AOL abuse department," something like that, but nothing. If nothing else this just kind of shows you how weak minded I guess they are. No backbone. Not standing up for their customers at all. They just decided to pull the plug as soon as they found some later complaints.

Alan: Yes. Did they send you a copy of the spam or show you?

Henrik: No, not at first, nothing. I had to you know kind of milk them for this. I said what's going on and what's happened? Who did this? What did it look like? But after that, they sent me one small example of this and I don't know the person involved with this AOL.com email address. I received a few email from the person but I haven't replied to them and so forth. I mean this is again something that is totally out of my control. People can - you know I don't mind how people communicate or if they send off spam or whatever. In one sense, I'm glad for people sending out my links and so forth. That's fine for me. I don't care. But you know deciding to pull the plug on me was very strange and very childish in a way.

Alan: What's weird about that is - and I'm sure there is warning given to people in this kind of situation - and that's two of us now. Now I had it first and I had no warning at all that they were going to pull it or for any reason or whatever. No inquiries that anything was wrong. Not that there was anything was wrong. It was just pulled and there you are, no warning again, you were a customer. They didn't get in touch with you to clarify spam or anything first. I think it's an excuse.

Henrik: Yes indeed. I mean it sounded like it because the reasons were so weak basically because I post several questions to them that they yet haven't replied to. I simply stated - they expressed - the website hosting company I have is One.com and they expressed fear of being something they called "blacklisted" and I asked them what that means and by whom they would be blacklisted, so I have not received a reply to that so that's something I'm going to continue posing that question to them. Another thing I also asked them was of course was if I were to send out what they call it "spam-vertisement" about their own company One.com, would they have to be forced to take down their own service then? I mean no reply to that of course.

Alan: They're giving you the run around.

Henrik: Yes, exactly.

Alan: Someone obviously leaned on them and put a word in and they're just giving you the initial harassment.

Henrik: Exactly and I think that is kind of the bottom line at this point. I mean, I don't think there's any - there might be of course depending on who actually

threatened them, as you said, but the rundown here I guess is that this is pretty weak stuff, very small. Nothing big. No big conspiracy in that sense. It just kind of shows you how little effort it actually takes for someone to just report my site for whatever reason and they just decide to take it down immediately. No questions asked, nothing.

Alan: That's what gets me. You see, if it was normal, if this was a sort of fairly common complaint they would get in touch with you first or anyone else to quiz you on it if you knew anything about it. They wouldn't pull you. They'd only pull you out of panic because someone pretty high up there had put in the word to give you a hard time.

Henrik: Yes, that might be done and the interesting thing of course is, Alan, that your site was pulled before that and then mine and so forth, so there's a ditto connection here that someone is actually trying to send some kind of message I guess. I don't know.

Alan: I know there's games going on and I do know there's agencies within the U.S. that use AOL to send Trojans around because I've had some people in the U.S. downloading stuff from my site and even though the Yahoo scans it every so often for everything, there's nothing on it to catch; but they've caught AOLs being transmitted along with the download, so it's inserted somewhere along the line to them and it was AOL that was registered there causing the problem.

Henrik: Because they did say that they thought that the AOL address that they showed me, this email address, and I actually myself took contact with this person to write them and say you know please don't include my web page address again if you decide to send off spam or whatever. So I mean I'm not mad at that person for anything. They can do whatever they want. It's none of my business. That's the problem here. I mean none of this has anything to do with me. I'm just caught in between so to speak.

Alan: It's an excuse to just give you a warning and what happened when you eventually got hooked back up again – did you get through to someone or how did you manage to get it up?

Henrik: They said that to get your website up and running again you must guarantee that you won't do this again.

Alan: Oh, no.

Henrik: So I said I can't do that. I can't guarantee something that I haven't been involved with so sorry, but what I did was I simply contacted this person who was behind the AOL.com email address and said could you send them an email that will help me out and say that you guarantee that you won't send off mail again; and I don't know if the person has done it. I haven't gotten a reply from that person yet.

But anyway, what I did was say that I had nothing to do with the email and I can't be responsible for it; and just after a while again they decided to put my website up as soon as I said that I wasn't affiliated with the email address.

Alan: It's almost like trying to wring a confession out of you, knowing you're not guilty, so they can use it on you again. I think like I say it's a warning because you've been reaching certain topics which you're supposed to stay from maybe.

Henrik: Sure. I mean exactly. We've done a whole lot of interesting shows together and we actually did a show with Freeman which actually involves - Freeman mentioned something called the AOL Time-Warner company in regards to the Mickey Mouse Club with the issue on mind control and Brittney Spears and also on the recent death on Anna Nicole Smith and as soon as we actually put that show up, a few hours after that, the site was actually pulled so I don't if that has anything to do with.

Alan: I don't think it was that. I tell you because are on regular media and there's been so much exposure on Anna Nicole Smith. They want the public to dissect all that information so I don't think that's the problem. It's the same with the Vatican. You can say what you want about the Vatican and they have been for a long, long time. In fact, that's to keep everyone occupied pointing fingers, but I don't think that's even the cause of it. Very often the cause is a topic you've almost glossed over. It could be a comment and I was racking my brains to think what would have got authorities in Sweden upset? The only thing I can think of is just a little - I think I said a sentence to do with the brain chips and how they experimented with prisoners in Sweden years ago, and I thought that's about the only time I'd mentioned Sweden that would cause that kind of problem.

Henrik: Yes, that might be.

Alan: To forget all this kind of stuff and it's the same thing when I talked about the drugs and the CIA and the contra, even though it was blasted out at the time across the world. It's all been brushed under the carpet again and they've suddenly become respectable once more. They don't like this stuff being dragged out to make the public think well maybe it's still going on.

Henrik: Sure. I mean there are few comparisons. I mean this is nothing in comparison of course but it does remind me of a site called the Pirate Bay here in Sweden being - this is a bit torrent site but I think it was taken down also a while back and this was after the "Big Boys". You know allegedly they had some pressure from the American Whitehouse and the police here in Sweden removed the servers and the same with The National Democrat website being taken down after they published a few more of those. If you remember that the Mohammed cartoons was in the news. What was it? Six, seven months ago, but anyway they published a few more of those, but again, against the law they just pulled down that website because they thought that would create more threats and so forth. So that's how they do. I think again this is evidence of kind of special treatment. They just do this in certain areas and then it seems to be okay in certain locations.

Alan: Yes and also I think what it is, is they hope you get the message. See, most companies would say well we've got a little warning here, we better be careful. That's the message you see, and that's how things are done in the real world. They lean on people until you get the message. Nothing you could ever prove in a court you see. You could never take it into court, even though you had 90% of the evidence pointing in one direction and in law it's the preponderance of evidence that brings a jury to the conclusion, but of course, you'll never get the big boys to

admit that they did it.

Henrik: Exactly, Yes, sure. And I mean one thing that I kind of reacted to again was what they actually consider to be spam. I mean that's also a very subjective thing regarding if you send it out to a lot of people or if the receiver of the mail hasn't approved that they are to receive the mail. I don't know. Do you know what is considered to be spam?

Alan: Spam, well let's be honest. I get the same spam everyday. It's the same one over and over and over and well the big boys know who's putting it out. They can track them very easily because the entire Internet was given - Brzezinski talked about it before they gave us the Internet, that it would be perfectly safe for governments because they would be monitoring everyone's move. They know where everything comes from and they haven't stopped all the traditional spammers putting out their stuff, so why do they make a big deal about someone - actually, it's a red herring they've given us. It's a red herring. They know where things come from. They can track anything.

Henrik: Sure. As they said in the communication with the server hosting company, they said they got the email logs right there so they can go in and check everything. They said that this wasn't just one example so I guess that if I pressured them more or asked them more they could give me more examples of the kind of communications that involves my website or my email or whatever, so they've got it.

Alan: Yes, but they think it originated in the U.S., so I think that's the key to it. You see, the whole Internet system was used during the Cold War before the public knew it existed. They had it all set up. Personally, I think that's why no one pressed the buttons on the bombs, they were making sure that no one did, they were in cahoots with each other, Russia and the U.S., but they had all that system set up then. What we're given today is literally obsolete technology, and as I said, Brzezinski said that. He said that they'd be in charge of everything to do with the Internet because it was the greatest way to monitor the whole world. So, no, that's an excuse to pull you I think because you're becoming politically incorrect somewhere.

Henrik: Yes, I hope so. It's an encouragement that I'm on the right track I guess.

Alan: You are and if you - you see, I've watched this over the years. I know how things operate with intelligence services. I know how they operate with counterintelligence services and how the counterintelligence takes all the stuff you're talking about, wraps it up with nonsense and discredits the intelligence so you throw the baby out with the bath water. This goes on all the time. It always has gone on, even before the computer. If you're out there pushing all sorts of weird ideas or just telling people to practice yoga or have out of body experiences all the time, you'd have plane sailing you see. They don't mind it because all these things are harmless to a power system. You can speculate and imagine forever. They don't mind that at all, but when you get into topics which are not only current, but to deal with where we're going, and a lot of it isn't pleasant really and you have documentation to back it up, then you're treading on their territory, on the power territory, and they don't - there's so many ways to get messages across to people. And the public wouldn't believe if you even told them some of the

things that happen.

I mean the previous house I had a chopper, a helicopter, put something down the chimney.

Henrik: Oh, really.

Alan: Yes and I was doing the shortwave radio broadcasts at the time. It was late at night, in the winter. I had the woodstove going and then I was drowned out. I was on the phone on the Sweet Liberty just after it. I got drowned out. The helicopter was so low I couldn't hear myself. The next thing the stove doors blew a sheet of flame across the room and I said oh my god, I said the chimney's gone up as well. I got a hose uncoiled, which I'd kept inside so that there's no water to freeze, ran right up onto the roof and I could have touched the skid of the helicopter as it started moving off. That's how close it was. The stuff that they had put down there, it took me about half an hour to put out. I cleaned out the bottom where all the ash fell down. There was a white powder. When it dried out outside after I emptied it out, it burst into flames again, so there was a phosphorous mix in there. It was a phosphorous grenade.

I thought at the time, what on earth have I said to bring this on? Then I thought to myself, who do I complain to? Who would believe you? I had a witness there at the time. That was the only thing that kept my sanity.

Henrik: Yes, because I totally - I've heard this before I think from alleged MK-ULTRA victim Cathy O'Brien who stated that she was - you know people broke into her home and they did weird stuff like moving around small things in the apartment, changing the way that you open the door so you have to turn it the other way. Stuff kind of that you know in your head by almost like a - anyway, kind of this tricky stuff. I don't know if this is anything that you've been going through but just to kind of play with your head I suppose and then we've got the more straight approach as you say the more obvious stuff. I mean having a helicopter over your house. That's pretty big stuff.

Alan: Literally, it was nighttime and I thought, well I could have died, and then I thought, as I say - if you went to the police station they're going to look at you and lock you up as being crazy, because it's unthinkable to the average person that would go to all that trouble to be put out just to silence little old you, until you realize that little old you can say certain things which really are kind of dangerous to the present power structure and bring up histories of things they'd rather the public forget.

Henrik: At this point, I don't feel threatened at all basically. I mean this is quite fun and it's going to be interesting to see if I can squeeze out some more answers from the website serving the hosting company and see if they actually bother to answer some of my questions and basically see what their approach is if they kind of want to continue to - because basically they'll continue forever just as long as someone - that should be a hint to everybody, if you want to get a website down, just send a lot of spam-vertisement and that'll get you pulled, I promise you.

Alan: Yes and that could be some - I'm sure whatever they're using as excuses have been talked about at the top as well as to what excuses they could use to pull

sites and probably talked about this years ago. They always have plans so they can implement them when need be, if someone becomes a problem, so it could be that. However, it just shows you as I say that free speech isn't free.

Henrik: It costs.

Alan: It's not free at all. There's a price to pay for things and you get warned pretty clearly, pretty clearly.

Henrik: You know, another thing of course as I said I'm going to follow-up on this and see if I can squeeze out what the term "blacklisted" means and so forth and all of that. Another thing is of course there should be or one idea that popped up in my head when this happened was that there should be some kind of status - kind of imagine an external website that actually monitors all the website that you are monitoring so you can get a ping - you can ping the website or something like that so you can see the status if it's online and offline and if it's offline you can actually go in and write a short blurb that your site has been taken down and whatever and this can actually be a little small box that people can put on their website so they can monitor all the websites that deal with similar topics or things like that. I don't know. Just an idea.

Alan: Well as I say, it could be done, but I'm sure this is just a little warning to you. With me, they did it at the weekend as well, so there was no one - well, they passed me around from different people for the whole weekend, none of whom knew anything.

Henrik: Are you going to change the company that hosts your website?

Alan: I've got more sites going up in different countries and one of them I'm probably make another main site and that way if Yahoo pulls it again I'll still have a main site working for the others to mirror as well. This is what you have to do in today's world.

Henrik: Yes, it seems like it. I mean it's so easy for them to just pooh-pooh all of it right away. I mean for God's sake they're planning, as you and I spoke earlier, Internet2 and all of this stuff, so I mean at that point they could just decide basically who would get the permission to start a website from the start. I guess that we are in a time right now where this is kind of a luxury.

Alan: Yes. I noticed even Canada, I think it was three to four years ago, they had an international meeting for the United Nations and different organizations were invited to do with policing the Internet and part of it was content, various types of content which was politically incorrect and what they could do about it, and the United Nations was demanding the right to do all the policing on the Internet. What they did, they set up regions of the world where main non-governmental organizations would be based and they would have the keys to go into everyone's site and be able to monitor your computer's signature as you surf the net. These are the guys that give you the firewall hits and so on. Everyday I get them - there's one in Holland that bashes me all the time. There's another one that deals with the Far East and it's based I think in Queensland, Australia. They bash you everyday but they are technically non-governmental organizations which can get through

most firewalls and they are the police for the United Nations.

Henrik: Okay, exactly because that was my point. It's obvious they can be hired by governments or countries or whatever.

Alan: Yes. And also they monitor, goodness knows how many, so many bureaucracies working on all this now on speech content and they're monitoring all the different phrases and little topics and taking notes, and I'm sure they're actually adding to it all the time and then sending them to their main providers and complaining. This is all part of the new policing of the worldwide net.

Henrik: Do you think that the clampdown on this will be - I guess as usual they will start with something that everyone in that sense can gather around trying to get caught with pedophiles and stuff like this and try to corner that - the people that are involved with that kind of stuff to begin the clampdown I guess.

Alan: Yes. It could be something rather innocent and they bend it out of shape and they just pull you and they could do it as many times as they wanted to until you just gave up and you can just go away. See, they do know in this world that the public's memory is very short and it doesn't matter who you are, you are quickly forgotten. I've seen some of the biggies, not just in this line but in the different lines, who just disappear and then are quickly forgotten by the public. That happened to Bill Cooper.

Henrik: Yes, William Cooper, sure.

Alan: And Bill Cooper had asked me down there. He didn't generally take visitors but he called me. If I had gone down, I'd have been down there when he got killed.

Henrik: Oh, really.

Alan: So it's just as well I didn't go.

Henrik: Yes, sure. He was shot by police in his home, right?

Alan: He was set up. He was literally set up and he knew it was coming. He used to chase people off his hillside home because it was private property and it was the local sheriff's and some BATF officers dressed up like hobos or youngsters pretending to be drunk, and he went out in his truck and when he recognized their faces he knew he was getting set up. He did an about face and he was running out of his truck. Now this man they said he was running and shooting at the same time. The guy had an artificial leg.

Henrik: Oh, really.

Alan: Yes and it just so happened that I think it was two SWAT teams - one came round the other side of his house and just riddled him with bullets.

Henrik: Have you heard about Jim Keith allegedly also wrote a few books on conspiracies and so forth and he went in for I think it was an operation relating to something in his knee and he actually died during the operation.

Alan: I wouldn't be surprised. I know another man who spoke out about the NAFTA deal, the Unification of the Americas, and after giving a talk in a hall, he came out of the hall, a car drew up and this man said that I'm really impressed with your work. He said I know you don't have much money because you've given up everything to do what you're doing. He said I am a dentist. If you need free work, I'll do it for you. So he took him up on it and after having the injections in his gums and so on, in the months later he came down with massive rheumatoid arthritis all over his body.

Henrik: Oh, my God. It's so easy. I posted an article actually a few days ago before the site went down, someone called - I never heard of this guy before but I found it after a movie I was watching through video villa. His name was Dr. Eugene Malove or Mallove and this guy was allegedly kind of a torch bearer for cold fusion and he claimed that they had some kind of deal in I think it was in the late '80's when a team said that they had managed to do cold fusion experiments and then this was later refuted by large universities and other researchers and scientists, but this guy actually was one of the proponents who said that it actually did work and all the universities and other scientists just kind of mish-mashed the evidence for this because everybody knows how free energy that no one wants that around, correct?

Alan: Well you see, that's it because in this world and in the UN Charter and on all the politicians' lips, you'll hear the term "interdependence" and they say we've all got to be interdependent. It's not just by nations, it's individually as well. They want everyone to rely completely 100% on the system they provide, for your food, your water, energy and so on, so they could never give anything to the people that would create the opposite effect where you could be independent. That's contrary to the world plan. What they do is they have "market suppression" they call it, where inventions come along all the time and they snaffle them and bury them. I know that back in the '70's the big magazine for the automobiles in Britain was called I think the "RAC," the Royal Academy of something or another, or the Royal Auto, and they tested a car, which was running, they did take and separate the oxygen and hydrogen from water, and they had it for two months and they tested it for two months and drove it. They put out glowing reports they could literally fill this thing up anywhere at all there was a pool of water and it had built in filters, even if you wanted to put it into an old pond, and they said that the thing worked beautifully. There was no gimmicks in it and that whole invention was completely suppressed.

Henrik: Yes, I can imagine. That classic movie "Who Killed the Electric Car?" I think that's up on Video Google, too, but again I mean we don't have to go in the areas as I say of electric cars and that kind of stuff because there are of course things running on allegedly cold fusion and water and all of that, so there we go.

Alan: Universities, too, when they go in and repeat these studies, as I say, people forget that there's no university that's completely independent to do its own study because the professors and the departments all get massive grants from the big foundations. They live on grants in fact, free grants, and so they take their orders from the foundation and the big foundations are the Carnegie and Ford and Rockefeller foundations and others. These are the money boys who run this whole world system. So when they tell them, yes, you'll do the experiments and you will

find it's all negative, then those professors will go ahead and that's what they'll come up with. It's negative, it doesn't work. They're working for paymasters. There's no big devious complicated design there. It's quite simple. It's quite simple now to suppress various inventions.

Henrik: Sure. If you don't go along with your peers, you'll be immediately frozen or your grants or tenure or whatever will be removed or taken away from you.

Alan: Yes. You know there's a professor in Canada called O'Driscoll who came out with three books in the '90's that resulted from the fact that he was suspended from teaching at the University of Toronto. It was the weirdest thing because it's very similar to this strange gray area between the black and the white world of law and not quite law, how he was suspended. They said he still had to keep his contract up but he wasn't allowed to come on the grounds to teach the students, so he thought the only way would be to get a hot air balloon and go over the campus grounds with a megaphone and to teach the students. What got him on the track and he said this, and he wasn't right with everything certainly, but he said, "I lived in an ivory tower." He mixed with all the academic fraternities. He lived in a separate world than most of the public and he fell from the ivory tower with a crash because the authorities came after him after he put on a stage play, a short play about NATO and it was about NATO and the Warsaw pact. What he realized was that the whole Cold War was a fraud because the United Nations acted as a referee that had written up charters both for NATO and for the Soviet version which was the Warsaw pact and neither could do anything to the other without going through the United Nations. Then he concluded that the United Nations was literally the boss of the two, all that time, and he put it out on stage and that's when the flack started and he got all the comeback from the university and all strange kind of accusations came his way until he was suspended. However, out of that came three books, some of which was quite true, others were some - he was being mislead.

Henrik: I mean just look at the nuclear technology and the exchange of that so all countries involved in the Cold War actually had the ability to build a nuke or already had a nuke. I don't know if you heard about something called Major Jordan's diaries?

Alan: Yes.

Henrik: I mean that's a perfect example how to trade the technology between the Russians and the U.S. so both sides can have the bombs so to speak.

Alan: Yes. See, there was no real Cold War except that the public of both sides had to believe there was. It was the Cold War that enabled the governments to tax the people incredibly in order to use it for research and development, supposedly to protect them, through sciences and if they kept things science we'd win this war. Whoever had the best science will win the war, and so billions and trillions of dollars went into research and development but had nothing to do with trading more and more bombs. That was for the public consumption. It was for all the technology that would ultimately be used on a global society for keeping everyone in order. That's what its real function was and I used to say well how come the top scientists in Britain and America could go over to the Soviet meeting of scientists every year? Those would be the last people you would allow to mix with Soviets

would be your top scientists, and this was a yearly event. And here they are telling you that those who have the best sciences would win the war. It told me right there and then that this was all bogus.

Henrik: Again this might be a fraud in that sense too, but now they have the funding of al-Qaeda and so forth. I mean it's the same stuff all over again.

Alan: Yes, it's the same thing. This world is a chessboard that's set up way ahead in advance and I am sure that when Rumsfeld went over there to Saddam in the '70's and gave him all the stockpiles of gases et cetera and that was well documented in newspapers at the time, I'm sure they knew exactly how long they would use Saddam and they probably even knew then when they were going to go after him right down to the year. The chessboard is setup step-by-step in advance. Everyone is used in turn to get to a final goal.

Henrik: How about Iran? What's your take on that? I mean it feels like obviously we're being very close for a long time now, but when the last piece I read about Iran is that they allegedly can't - they can't stop their nuclear programs so it's just like a train that keeps on going and now of course we have the possibility of intervention from the U.S. and the UK I guess. I mean do you think that they are going to go in there?

Alan: They have to go in because it's part of the plan. They wrote about the Middle East in the ***Project for a New American Century***, that was the club that Cheney and all these boys belonged to going back into the early '90's, they published they'd have to take over the entire Middle East including Iran and Syria and that was their project and that's what they said. They would be the new American empire because every era has always had a leading empire running the world and they took over from Britain and now they would be the American empire and they would have to take over the resources of the entire Middle East. This is all part of the standardization of the entire world. They can't have different religions, it won't be allowed, different ways of living, dressing and right down to language in a sense; they want a standardized world. It's just too untidy the way it is.

Henrik: Yes, we need order, right? I saw a documentary about Chinese goods being shipped out and I was very surprised that every Chinese in the work they had this huge harbor were actually speaking English. So I mean do you think that is the world language, English?

Alan: Well going back to John Dee and this is published in his own books. He approached Queen Elizabeth I in the 1500's and he coined the term "the British Empire" and he explained what it would be and they would use the British Navy to take over the world in a sense and they would create a system called "free trade. This was written about in the 1500's and he said those countries who would join them would adopt the British system of democracy, which would be called democracy, and tariffs and so on will be penalized against those who wouldn't join them; but he also said that they didn't have to stay in Britain because the whole idea was a philosophy, so they could move it elsewhere if need be. We know they moved it to the United States to take over from Britain, but that's how long - and he said that the English language would be the international language of business for the future. That's what he said.

Henrik: So I guess this is why we have 007 running around doing the bidding for the queen, running around as they call it fighting the international terrorists rich guys and-

Alan: And it's so funny because John Dee was also a spy for Queen Elizabeth I. He went across to Europe and came back with all the information and he signed his letters 007.

Henrik: Sure, exactly.

Alan: When you look at history, it's almost a comic book.

Henrik: It is, yes.

Alan: You realize that the strategies and the plans and how simple really they are and it's our refusal to believe what they say that gets us all confused. We don't want to believe them when they say they want to drastically reduce the population of the world. We hear them saying it and they will tell us in all these different wildlife programs and all this kind of stuff, but we don't want to believe them and yet they've published so many books. They're all round universities and we don't want to believe that people exist who not only mean it, but have the means to put it into action.

Henrik: Sure. I mean there was a UT professor. I think his last name was Bianca, professor Bianca, who allegedly demanded that, what was it, 60% or even more, 80% of the people on the earth must go in order for the earth to sustain itself; and I mean this is in my book obviously ridiculous stuff. I mean there's been research on how much land and so forth people need to have to actually sustain and live, and the earth is abundant place at least how I see it and the thing that I would see changing of course is the big industrialized companies and so forth in regards to global warming. Why don't they stop the space program? They spew out tremendous amounts of carbon dioxide and other poisons when they shoot those rockets up there, but there's no mentioning of that. It's always the little guy and people with their cars. We should pay more and it's ridiculous in my book at least.

Alan: Well when I was small, I asked a lot of questions. I asked a lot of questions everywhere I went and I'd see the occasional person but there were very few cars in my little town, which was a mining town, but I'd ask the guys who were working on them how they worked and of course as I grew up I realized that nothing changed really. It was the same gasoline. It was the same technology. It just didn't change. Everything else was changing. Electronics was leaping ahead from the old tubes to transistors then solid-state. Everything has been altered but the same car getting churned out really with a different body or a shell every year, and I came to the conclusion that we were not intended to be allowed to drive forever or they would have given us a completely different fuel or whatever. It would have been done. It really would have been done. Sure enough, when I read the various books put out by some of the big boys themselves and then read **Agenda 21**, for the 21st century, for the United Nations, they say it right in there that people will be living in habitats. All governments will be mandating laws to eventually push people bit by bit into habitat areas. There will be no private transportation. They state that

clearly. It will be public transportation only and so it was right enough as a young boy I knew we were not meant to drive forever. They only gave us that to get through the industrial age and we're post-industrial, post-technological and they don't want us traveling anymore. We don't need to travel. In fact, we're even post-agricultural. Latin America has been built up with the Free Trade negotiations and NAFTA to be the supplier of most of the farm produce.

Henrik: Yes, sure. I totally agree. I can see this happening now because the first step of course is to get everybody into the big cities because this is where the jobs are so I mean they leave the countryside to where - no humans in the countryside. Just in these big cities and then to be able to fight gridlocks and as they call it congestion and stuff like this they're going to tax people. We have this proposition in Sweden now in regards to congestion as they call it, where they're going to tax and trace all cars that are going through the big cities, so I mean it's right there.

Alan: It's penalization and they'll penalize us with so many different ways that eventually we can't afford it you see. They don't like to ban things straight outright. They just make it impossible for you to continue, step by step. As I say, the world has been planned out. It's a business plan. It's a huge business plan and Joe Public is completely ignorant of it because the television version and these dramas and these comedies are meant to keep you just floating through life without getting involved in any of it.

Henrik: I can see it happening right now. I mean so you read much of the books out there in regards to this. Do you think that one of this tribal people, one language, one culture basically, that's the main plan I guess?

Alan: That's a stepping-stone. I call this the never-ending story because when you read the material that comes out from the top geneticists, the futurist societies and different big organizations, they're giving you the future. They sit and debate it. They talked a long time ago about eugenics, how those with what they called "inferior genes" shouldn't be allowed to pass them on and breed, and how mandatory sterilization will come into force. One of the techniques that was discussed was the creation of a new religion to do with earth-based worship and how they could teach children in the school that the earth was everything, the mother was everything and therefore to save the mother a certain amount of them should volunteer for sterilization and how they would willingly go through it, because religions can convince people to do amazing things. If that's all they're taught, they could be brought up to volunteer and maybe even get some kind of reward for it.

Henrik: I mean there are a few animated movies out there now. I got a few tips from a few of my website visitors who tipped me on one movie called "Happy Feet" and another called "Aunt Bully" I think and both of these movies is basically the idea is one movie ends on the note that the UN is taking over and basically things are happy and jolly towards the end, but another movie is basically a portrayal that the root of the evil is the humans. Both these two latest movies go into that very deeply and on a very emotional level. I can't imagine being a kid and sitting watching these movies. I mean on one level it's okay, it's nice. We need to be environmentally aware of course, but this stuff goes too far. It's ridiculous because the human is clearly the enemy in these movies.

Alan: That's where it's going with all of this, but once you start touching on these topics you become maybe a problem. As I say, if we were teaching meditation or something like that we'd be perfectly safe, or talking about ghosts all the time. That's quite acceptable. If you start touching on what's available if you want to search for it, the books from the big organizations that are planning this future and you just repeat what they're saying, but because you're not talking to a university level ivy league type students you become a problem, because you're talking to the general public who are supposed to be kept dumb and happy and content with the television.

Henrik: Yes, sure. I mean that this is to be a recent phenomena especially since we've got the - we're allowed, rather, to use the Internet for this kind of stuff. I mean it might be that they think that things have taken a wrong turn in a sense that people are actually beginning to be interested in topics like these and much more of course.

Alan: Yes. Well, I'm glad the site's up though.

Henrik: Yes, me too.

Alan: I think that the more exposure we get out there, the less likelihood there is for them to suddenly pull it again.

Henrik: I hope so too, of course, because I mean as I said in the beginning, I'm going to pursue this and try to squeeze out of the hosting company what they're going to do to prevent this in the future. At this point I recommend no one to sign up with one.com the hosting company because the help there has been lousy, so don't support those guys.

Alan: Yes. I'm glad it's up and well thanks for talking tonight.

Henrik: Yes, it's been wonderful. Thank you very much for inviting me, Alan, and being able to speak with you. It's been a pleasure.

Alan: It's always a pleasure.

Henrik: Thank you.

Alan: Thanks to Henrik Palmgren from Red Ice Radio for being on the show. For me and Hamish, it's good night and your god or gods go with you. I'm leaving you with a little song for the Internet providers, with whom we have this strange love-hate relationship. Hope you enjoy it.

"Suspicious Minds"

By Elvis Presley

We're caught in a trap
I can't walk out
Because I love you too much baby

Why can't you see
What you're doing to me
When you don't believe a word I say?

We can't go on together
With suspicious minds
And we can't build our dreams
On suspicious minds

So, if an old friend I know
Drops by to say hello
Would I still see suspicion in your eyes?

Here we go again
Asking where I've been
You can't see these tears are real
I'm crying

We can't go on together
With suspicious minds
And we can't build our dreams
On suspicious minds

Oh let our love survive
Or dry the tears from your eyes
Let's don't let a good thing die

When honey, you know
I've never lied to you
Mmm Yes, Yes

We're caught in a trap
I can't walk out
Because I love you too much baby

Why can't you see
What you're doing to me
When you don't believe a word I say?

We're caught in a trap
I can't walk out
Because I love you too much baby

We're caught in a trap
I can't walk out
Because I love you too much baby

(Transcribed by Linda)